point to the point where he got off the stand, that's the 2 confidential portion of Mr. Reardon's testimony. From the time 3 that Mr. Havens left the room during Mr. Trammell's testimony until the end, that's the confidential portion of that transcript. 5 So it's very simple. There isn't going to be any back 6 and forth where people are going to be submitting to me a further 7 redacted copy of the transcript claiming that things are 8 confidential, that Mr. Havens has sat here and already heard. 9 So I don't know why the Bureau is trying to make this 10 into a complicated process. It's not and I'm perfectly comfortable with the procedure that Your Honor outlined, which is very simple. 11 12 MR. ENGEL: Your Honor, may I be heard? 13 JUDGE SIPPEL: I could have said what you said in ten words. 14 15 MR. STENGER: Well, that's why you're --MR. ENGEL: We'll follow Your Honor's instruction. 16 17 We'll do the best we can. The one complication is Mr. Havens was, in fact, not here at the beginning of yesterday morning and we 18 19 didn't even see him in the courtroom until after lunch. So there 20 was a break. I think the break was probably noted clearly by the reporter, Sir, but we'll do the best we can. 21 22 If we reach an impasse, we'll have to raise that with you. But it should be marked in the record. I think the reporter 23 24 has got it. We'll do the best that we can. 25 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, check and see. I know what you're

talking about and I think I did make some comment on the record 1 2 when he was not here. I don't know what I made when he came in. But that's fine. I mean I'm just hearing a lot of --3 4 MR. STENGER: While we're on the topic of Mister --5 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, wait a minute. 6 MR. STENGER: I'm sorry. 7 JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, dear. You have anything more to say 8 about this, Mr. Keller? 9 MR. KELLER: No, Your Honor. JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Kirk? 10 MR. KIRK: No, Your Honor. 11 12 JUDGE SIPPEL: Everybody go home and get this job done. And don't bother me with emails, please, motions only on -- with 13 respect to this. Well, I shouldn't say it that way. Be very 14 sparing. You should be able to figure this out and put it 15 together. 16 17 Let me just tell you this as a little story, if you 18 will. In the Tennis Channel v. Comcast case where they had -- I 19 mean they just had so much horsepower to carry out these tasks, 20 they did find some stuff that was in the transcript that hadn't 21 been specifically identified. And they ironed it out. They ironed 22 it out among counsel. 23 You see that? There you go. I said something in my memo on rules of the road that you weren't supposed to have your 24 25 cell phones on. And there you go. Poor Mr. Stenger. I'm

finished. Does anybody else have any specific questions on what 1 2 you have to do? MR. STENGER: Someone before Mr. Trammell testified 3 yesterday, somebody gave me a copy of his confidential testimony. 4 I don't know who gave it to me. Was it you, Mr. Keller? 5 MR. ENGEL: I'm sure it was me. 6 7 MR. STENGER: It was you? MR. ENGEL: Yes. 8 MR. STENGER: I thought Mr. Keller gave it to me. 9 10 like the record to reflect I'm giving back this confidential document. And I don't have a non-public version of anything, at 11 this point, notwithstanding the fact that I signed the protective 12 order. So, if the Bureau would like to serve me with a set of --13 JUDGE SIPPEL: You know what you do? Why don't you 14 send them a letter? Send them a nice, polite letter asking for it. 15 The only reason you don't have it is that you didn't the order the 16 first time I asked you. 17 MR. STENGER: Yes, Your Honor. I will do that. 18 JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you. And I'd like to get a copy 19 of that letter. 20 21 MR. STENGER: I will copy you with it. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay? 22 MS. KANE: Your Honor, just real quick, I don't want to 23 belabor anything. I just want to clarify that you would like an 24 25 initial set of confidential proposed findings 30 days from the day

on which we receive the final transcript? 1 JUDGE SIPPEL: Correct. 2 3 MS. KANE: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE SIPPEL: And, of course, excluding Saturdays and Sundays. You go over to the next Monday, if that's the case. 5 MR. ENGEL: Your Honor, if I could dovetail that, is it 6 7 your intention to issue a written order covering the -- I believe you issued three rulings. Pardon me if I have the number wrong, 8 but three rulings after the close of the hearing. Will there be an 9 order memorializing that, Your Honor? 10 11 JUDGE SIPPEL: Roger that. It's going to be very brief though. So that's it. We're now in recess. This hearing is 12 concluded with the exception of the post-hearing briefing. And 13 14 what is the program, if I can ask this generally, in terms of 15 discovery and preparing on discovery for the next phase, which is the character phase? 16 MS. KANE: Your Honor, I believe we've proposed a 17 18 schedule. 19 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, you did. MS. KANE: To be honest, I don't remember at all, as I 20 21 sit here, a specific date. But I believe it was that we start 22 discovery on March 1st, which would have given us an opportunity to do the proposed findings and account for the interim holidays and 23 any delay that there might be on receiving the transcript. 24

I don't believe that there was a specific proposal for

25

a specific date from EVH that countered that. And, instead, there 1 2 was a briefing on matters that were not specific to the schedule, which we moved to strike. If you recall, there was no opposition 3 4 to our motion to strike and I believe you granted our motion to 5 strike. So I believe, right now, the only proposed schedule for 6 discovery is the one that Maritime and the Bureau jointly proposed 7 8 to you, which was to being the second phase as of March 1st. JUDGE SIPPEL: Did I issue an order on that? 9 MR. STENGER: Yes, Your Honor. My recollection is the 10 11 Order adopted the Bureau's schedule. And it didn't begin in March. I think it began in -- there was something that was supposed to 12 begin earlier than that, admissions or something. Something was to 13 begin in January of February. I don't have it in front of me. 14 15 MS. KANE: I don't know that there's an actual order, 16 Your Honor. MR. STENGER: We can, obviously, go back and look at 17 that. 18 19 JUDGE SIPPEL: We agree, and when I say we I mean those sitting up here at the bench, we agree that we can't recall an 20 order. But we'll go back and look further. And, if that's the 21 case and appropriate, I might amend that short order that I was 22 23 going to issue with the dates for the discovery --MR. STENGER: My recollection is --24 25 JUDGE SIPPEL: -- as they have been proposed. Maybe

there is one. I don't really remember.

MR. STENGER: My recollection is that the Bureau initially proposed a schedule that didn't begin in March but then, when they amended their proposal, they had some aspects of discovery beginning in January or February. But I don't have discovery.

MS. KANE: I believe what we asked --

MR. STENGER: I believe that you approved that schedule, which begins sooner than March. But I could be wrong. I'm just trying to be helpful.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that gives us a start.

MS. KANE: I believe what we requested, Your Honor, was the opportunity to submit proposed requests for admission because we were not allowed, in the initial phases, to obtain responses from Maritime as to the non-Issue G Request for Admissions we had originally submitted, in accordance with the rules and the timing for when we were supposed to put requests for admissions.

So I believe what we had asked for was leave to serve a second set of requests for admissions in the hopes that perhaps we could limit some of the issues going forward for discovery. I don't remember the specific date but we might have suggested that that start earlier than March.

In light of Your Honor's Order and the timing of the transcript, I think we may need to go back and look at that calendar and see if there will be ample time in the schedule to

....

accomplish both of those tasks by the date we had initially proposed, if Your Honor is willing to accept additional requests for admissions.

JUDGE SIPPEL: No. We will. And I will work with you on that. I want to work with you on that because I don't want you unduly rushed on the proposed findings. That's a very important part of this case, to me certainly and it should be to you. And there are intermittent holidays coming up. So I do not want to disturb anybody's, you know, fireside fire and all that kind of stuff or maybe even gatherings. Mr. Havens?

MR. HAVENS: I'm not clear. Because you have often said Mr. Stenger's my attorney, we're joined at the hip, we're a couple, are you going to continue allowing me to participate to the end of the Issue G as a party, pro se?

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'm not going to change anything yet, that's as the case has been carried on thus far. I mean, I don't care what you think about yourself or what you think about Mr. Stenger. I form my own judgment, my own opinion, my own conclusion as to who you are. So, whatever you do, it doesn't bother me.

MR. HAVENS: Will I be --

JUDGE SIPPEL: Unless, like you did before, you start impacting the case.

MR. STENGER: No, Your Honor. I think there's a more specific question here.

JUDGE SIPPEL: No, he didn't ask a more specific 1 2 question. MR. STENGER: Well, but --3 JUDGE SIPPEL: You want to give a more specific 4 5 question, Mr. Havens? 6 MR. STENGER: The --JUDGE SIPPEL: Is that what you want to do? 7 MR. STENGER: Let me ask the question. 8 JUDGE SIPPEL: Are you going to let him interrupt you 9 like that? 10 MR. STENGER: Yes, he's going to let me interrupt 11 because it's a very specific question. I don't want to waste your 12 time. In an earlier order, you said that we were supposed to make 13 joint filings in the case, which we've done. That's why we file 14 things as EVH, okay? We've made joint filings, joint motions. You 15 didn't want to have duplicative motions. And so --16 JUDGE SIPPEL: Nobody did. Nobody did. It wasn't just 17 18 me. 19 MR. STENGER: Exactly. I, on behalf of Environmental and Verde, and Mr. Havens has joined in those motions. Now, with 20 these proposed findings, that creates a little bit of a different 21 wrinkle because, with me signing the protective order, I can't 22 23 really file joint proposed findings and conclusions with Mr. Havens if I'm privy to some information and he's not. 24 25 So I think what he is asking, as it pertains to these

joint filings is that we don't want to disobey Your Honor's earlier Order that we make joint filings. But it sounds to me like, for this purpose, we may have to make separate filings. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you made this monster. You figure it out. (Simultaneous speaking) JUDGE SIPPEL: This case is closed and the record is closed. Thank you very much, everybody. MR. KELLER: Thank you, Your Honor. (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 11:37 a.m.)